

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

EMMA C. et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

DELAINE EASTIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO. C96-4179 TEH

ORDER TO MEET AND
CONFER RE DEVELOPING
RSIP INTERIM BENCHMARKS
AND FINAL DEADLINES

The parties appeared before the Court for a status conference on January 27, 2010. Plaintiffs, in their status conference statement, proposed that the Court order the parties to meet and confer to set interim benchmarks and final deadlines for achieving compliance with the Ravenswood Self-Improvement Plan, or RSIP. The California Department of Education endorsed this approach in its supplemental statement, as did Ravenswood at the conference.

Therefore, with good cause appearing, the Court ORDERS the parties to meet and confer regarding the development of a framework to achieve RSIP compliance. The parties shall:

1. set final deadlines for each RSIP corrective activity;
2. establish benchmarks for progress; and
3. develop graduated sanctions or interventions for each failure to meet a goal or deadline.

The parties may meet and confer on their own or include the Court Monitor, should his participation be desired or necessary. Any disagreements shall be submitted by the parties to the Court Monitor for resolution. The Court Monitor, in his discretion, may mediate

1 disputes, issue a determination, refer matters to the Court, and/or take any other action that
2 he deems appropriate.

3 The parties shall memorialize the agreed-upon framework by stipulation, to be filed
4 with the Court no later than March 29, 2010. If agreement cannot be reached even after
5 involvement of the Court Monitor, each party shall – by March 29, 2010 – file a statement
6 with the Court setting forth its position and describing the areas of agreement and
7 disagreement among the parties. The Court, upon reviewing the parties' statements, shall
8 decide upon an appropriate course of action. If, after collaborating diligently and in good
9 faith, the parties have not reached an agreement by the above deadline but believe that one
10 can be reached, they may file a joint request with the Court to extend the timetable.

11
12 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

13
14
15 Dated: 1/29/10



THELTON E. HENDERSON, JUDGE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT